Organizing for Innovation

Organizing for Innovation at Google

Google was founded in 1998 by two Stanford Ph.D. students, Sergey Brin and Larry Page, who had developed a formula for rank ordering random search results by relevancy. Their formula gave rise to an incredibly powerful Internet search engine that rapidly stratected a loyal following. The search engine that rapidly stratected a loyal following. The search engine mabled users to quickly find information through a simple and intuitive user interface. It also enabled Google to sell injektly trageted advertising space.

The company grew rapidly, in 2001, Birn and Page hired Eric Schmidt, former CTO of Sun Microsystems and former CEO of Novell, to be Google's CEO. In 2004, the company went public, raising \$1.6 billion in one of the most highly anticipated PIOs ever Under Schmidt, the company adhered to a broad yet disciplined mission: "To organize the worlds' information and make it universally accessible and useful." This led the company to leverage its core search and advertising capabilities into biogging, online payments, social networks, and

By 2014, Google had sales of over \$66 billion, and employed more than 57,000 people. Despite this size, however, the company eschewde hierarchy and bureaucracy and sought to maintain a small-company feel. As noted by Schmidt during an interview. "Innovation always has been driven by a person or a small team that has the luxury of thinking of a new idea and pursuing it. There are no counter examples. It was true 100 years ago and XIII be true for the next 100 years, innovation is something that comes when you're not under the gun. So it's important that, even if you don't have balance in your life, you have some time for reflection. So that you could say, Well, maybe it not working on the gript thing." Or, maybe I should have this new load. "The creative parts of one's

In accordance with this belief, Google's engineers were organized into small technology teams with considerable decision-making authority. Every aspect of the headquarters, from the shared offices with couches, to the recreation facilities and the large communal cafe known as "Charlie's Place," was designed to foster

22

226 Part Three Implementing Technological Innovation Strategy

informal communication and collaboration. "Managers referred to Geogle as a flexbile and flat" hechnocracy," where resources and control were allocated based on the quality of people's ideas rather than seniority or hierarchical status. Schmidt remarked, "One of the things that we've tired very hard to avoid at Geogle's the sort of divisional structure that prevents collaboration across smits. Its offficus." So I understand why people want to build business units, and have their presidents. But by doing that you cut down the informal test that in no open culture, drive so much collaboration. If people in the organization understand the values of the company, they should be able to self-organize to work on the most interesting problems."

A key ingredient in Google's organization is an incentive system that requires all technical personnel to spend 20 percent of their time on innovative projects of their own choosing. This budget for innovation is not merely a device for creating stack in the organization for creative employees—it is an aggires-sive mandate that employees develop new product ideas. As noted by one Google engineer, 'This sin't a matter of doing something in your spare time. but more of actively making time for it. Heck, I don't have a good 20% project yet and I need one. If I don't came up with something in your spare time, but more of actively making time for it. Heck, I don't have a good 20% project yet impact my review." Managers face similar incentives. Each manager is required to spend 70 percent of his or her time on the core business, 20 percent on related-but-different projects, and 10 percent on entirely new products. According to Marssa Mayer, Google's head of search products and user experience, a significant portion of Google's new products and features (including Gmall and AdSense) resulted from the 20 percent time investments of Google engineers.

In 2015, the company was reorganized into Alphabet Inc., a holding company, wherein Google and other divisions such as Access, Calico, CapitaliG, Nest, and others were wholly owned subsidiaries. The divisions retained their flat and flexible reporting structures. 9

In a podcast interview at Starford University, Andy Grove flormer CEO of Intely marked that the company's organization appeared chaotic, even noting "From the outside it blooks like Google's organizational structure is best described by ... Browniam motion in an expanding model" and questioned whether Schmidt believed this model would continue to work forever. In his response, Schmidt responded, "There's an important secret to tell, which is there are parts of the company that are not run chaotically. Our legist department, our finances. Our second strategic planning activates, our second strategic planning activates activates and strategic planning activates activates and strategic planning activates and

Discussion Questions

 What are the arthuntages and disadvantages of the creative side of Google being run as a flexible and flat "technocracy"?