

Barthel Index

by Ammon Derrick GITARI

Submission date: 15-Mar-2020 08:06PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1275774113

File name: Barthel_Index.txt (4.69K)

Word count: 748

Character count: 3980

Barthel Index

1

Unit code and Title: NNI5104.2020.1. ALL Health Issues in Gerontology

Lecturer: Carol CREVACORE *Caps are not required*

Student Name: Ammon Derrick Gitari

Student Number: 10509492

Date of Submission: 16th March 2020

Word Count: 523

This should be centred and should be in line

As per the instruction to students in the discussion board post no instruction or conclusion was required. Please indent at the start of each paragraph

Barthel Index

Introduction

Barthel ADL Index is one of the commonly used ordinal scales ^{to assess} for rating an individuals ability to perform activities of daily life (ADL). This tool is ^{living} mostly preferred for patients with musculoskeletal and neuromuscular conditions as well as the post stroke ones. ^{Reference} The tool involves scoring ten variables ^{used to assess} that describe ADLs and Mobility with higher scores indicating higher levels of independence. ^{Reference} Time taken as well as physical assistance required by a patient are used to determine the value assigned to each item (Hormozi et al., 2019). ² ^{This not required} To better understand the use of the Barthel ADL index, we shall analyze its application.

9

Discussion

² ^{This is not a complete sentence} The Barthel ADL index is widely used for rehabilitative purposes (Bouwstra et al., 2019). The index takes in data as a record of the activities that the patient can perform to determine their independence levels. The activities are divided into ten key areas that include feeding, transfers from bed to seat and back, continence, grooming and mobility among others.

12

¹³ ^{????} The use of the Barthel index is easy. The indexing is compact and as such is not as time-consuming as other indexes such as the Katz index (Silveira et al., 2018). To add

14

wc

on, there is a metric analysis system allowed by the Barthel index which makes it appropriate for statistical use. Finally, the index focuses on the core aspects that can be considered adequate for comfortable daily living.

15

Reference

17

16

Reference

Various features set the Barthel Index aside from other measures. To begin with, the index can be used through telephone as well as face-to-face interviews (Prasad et al., 2018). More so, a person who is familiar with the patient such as a family member or spouse can be used to successfully score a patient on the scale. This makes it highly convenient. The patient can also evaluate themselves using the technique.

JOIN

18

Reference

Reference

20

There exists some discrepancies in the validity of this tool in that it relies heavily on the perception of the observer. As such, the scoring criteria may change depending on the observer meaning the results may not be accurately repeated with the same set of variables. However, the difference cited in various patients is seen to vary slightly across different observers. As such, the index can be rated as being average on the validity section.

21

Reference

Reference

23

The Barthel Index is used to measure daily activity levels. The focus of the index is in the geriatric population. A deeper focus of its use is in patients of neuromuscular and musculoskeletal conditions under rehabilitation as well as the elderly and post stroke patients. The index can determine the length of stay and the level of nursing care that is needed for the target population.

3

Repetition

Reference

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Barthel ADL index is a useful tool for assessing the independence of patients. However, the tool is highly limited in its assessment as some contextual

factors and differences in patients are not considered. Despite the limitation, the index is an appropriate tool for engaging patients and their support systems in the delivery of quality healthcare. Moreover, healthcare providers can adequately engage the tool for the delivery of specialized care for patients leading to improved patient outcomes.

Repetition

Thank you for your submission. Your written work is not suitable and I would recommend you seek the support from the learning advisors to help with word choice and sentence structure. You were asked to analyse the literature so you could compare and contrast your chosen tool with other but unfortunately you have show little evidence of this. See week 2 PP for clarification. Remember to reference your work by using intext references. If you are unsure about this again please seek support for the learning advisors.

Reference should start on a new page and the title should be in bold

References

The second and subsequent lines should be indented

Bouwastra, H., Smit, B. E., Wattel, M. E., Wouden, C. J., Hertogh, M. P. M. C., Terluin, B., Terwee, B. C. (2019). Measurement Properties of the Barthel Index in Geriatric Rehabilitation. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 20(4): 420-425

doi ?

italicise

Please apply the above feedback to the remainder of your reference. I would suggest you seek support from a learning advisor to help with this process.

Hormozi, S., Alizadeh-Khoei, M., Sharifi, F., Taati, F., Aminalroaya, R., Fadaee, S.,
Angooti-Oshnari, L., Saghebi, H. (2019). Iranian Version of Barthel Index: Validity and
Reliability in Outpatients' Elderly. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine*; 10:130

DOI: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_579_18

Ref. Vol(issue)

spacing review
needed

Prasad, K., Kumar, A., Misra, S., Yadav, K. A., Johri, S., Sarkar, S. R., Gorthi, P. S.,
Hassan, M. K., Prabhakar, S., Misra, K. U., Kumar, P. (2018). Reliability and validity of
telephonic Barthel Index: ^Aan experience from a multi-centric randomized control study.
Reliability and validity of telephonic Barthel Index: an experience from a multi-centric
randomized control study. *Acta Neurologica Belgica*, 118: 53–59

Silveira, Y. T. L., Maria Da Silva, J., Soler, P. M. J., Sun, L. Y. C., Tanaka, C., Fu, C.
(2018). Assessing functional status after an intensive care unit stay: the Barthel Index
and the Katz Index. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 30 (4): 265–270/

REF REVIEW

<https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzx203>

Barthel Index

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

8%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to Edith Cowan University

Student Paper

4%

2

Submitted to University of Derby

Student Paper

2%

3

Submitted to Canterbury Christ Church
University

Student Paper

1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches < 7 words

Exclude bibliography On

FINAL GRADE

3 /15

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

Thank you for your submission. Please see the comments in text as well as the marking rubric and the grades listed below when considering your feedback. The suggestions within are meant to guide you as you move forward with your studies. If you have any queries please contact your unit coordinator Carol Crevacore.

Feedback to others - 1.5/3 Your comments are appropriate however you needed to critically incorporate the literature rather than just describe it. In some instances no literature is included at all.

Understanding ideas - 2/5

Research - 1.5/5

ELP - 0.25/1

Referencing - 0.25/1

TOTAL - 5.5

Text Comment. Caps are not required

Text Comment. This should be centred and should be in line

Text Comment. Page numbers are required in the top right hand corner

Text Comment. As per the instruction to students in the discussion board post no instruction or conclusion was required. Please indent at the start of each paragraph

Strikethrough.

Strikethrough.

Text Comment. to assess

Strikethrough.

Strikethrough.

QM

Reference

Text Comment. used to assess

Text Comment. living

Strikethrough.

QM

Reference

Strikethrough.

Strikethrough.

Text Comment. no caps

Text Comment. which

Text Comment. 's

Strikethrough.

QM

Reference

Text Comment. The



Comment 9

Poor sentence structure - this is my suggestion - The time taken by a patient to complete a task and the assistance required are all given values.

Text Comment. This not required

Strikethrough.

Strikethrough.

Text Comment. This is not a complete sentence.



Comment 12

This is not a discussion as you are still explaining the tool.



Comment 13

Says who? You need to reference your work.

Text Comment. ????



WC

Word choice error:

Sometimes choosing the correct word to express exactly what you have to say is very difficult to do. Word choice errors can be the result of not paying attention to the word or trying too hard to come up with a fancier word when a simple one is appropriate. A thesaurus can be a handy tool when you're trying to find a word that's similar to, but more accurate than, the one you're looking up. However, it can often introduce more problems if you use a word thinking it has exactly the same meaning.



Comment 14

This could have been 1 sentence



Comment 15

You need to consider your sentence structure and get assistance from a learning advisor



Reference



Comment 16

What do you mean here? WHY is this important!



Comment 17

SO?????



Reference



JOIN

These two sentences can be joined into one with meaning and depth



Comment 18

Again sentence structure and word choice needs improving

Strikethrough.



Reference



Comment 20

State which tool it is better than. You were asked to compare and contrast your tool against others.



Reference

Text Comment. says who?

Text Comment. do exist



Comment 21

which tool

Strikethrough.



Reference



Reference



Comment 23

Not sure what you mean here

QM

Repetition

QM

Reference

Strikethrough.

PAGE 4

QM

Repetition

Text Comment. Thank you for your submission. Your written work is not suitable and I would recommend you seek the support from the learning advisors to help with word choice and sentence structure. You were asked to analyse the literature so you could compare and contrast your chosen tool with other but unfortunately you have show little evidence of this. See week 2 PP for clarification. Remember to reference your work by using intext references. If you are unsure about this again please seek support for the learning advisors.

Text Comment. Reference should start on a new page and the title should be in bold

Text Comment. The second and subsequent lines should be indented

QM

italicise

Text Comment. doi ?

Text Comment. Please apply the above feedback to the remainder of your reference. I would suggest you seek support from a learning advisor to help with this process.

PAGE 5

Text Comment. not capitalised unless a proper noun or following a :

Text Comment. Italics for the name

QM

Ref: Vol(issue)

The volume number needs to be in italics. The issue number needs to be in brackets.

Text Comment. rewi doi format please

Text Comment. spacing review needed

Text Comment. A

Strikethrough.

Text Comment. T

Text Comment. italics



REF REVIEW

Please refer to the reference manual for formatting rules