Criteria		Levels of Achievement		
		Accomplished	Needs Improvement	Not Acceptable
Abstract		<ul><li>3 to 3 points</li><li>Brief, comprehensive summary of the contents of the paper</li></ul>	<ul><li>1 to 2 points</li><li>Summary of the paper with disorganized thought</li></ul>	O to 1 Points  Vague, disorganized and lacking paper content
Introduction		<ul> <li>4 to 4 Points</li> <li>Clearly states the purpose of the paper.</li> <li>Provides a comprehensive overview of topic or questions.</li> <li>Engages the reader.</li> <li>Organized and has easy follow.</li> <li>2 to 2 Points</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 to 3 Points</li> <li>Overview is provided, but key points/ideas are missing.</li> <li>Purpose statement is not clear.</li> <li>Does not engage the reader.</li> <li>Somewhat disorganized but still comprehensible</li> <li>1 to 2 Points</li> </ul>	O to 1 Points  Does not provide an overview of the paper or is absent.  No purpose statement.  O to 1 Points
	The Problem	<ul> <li>Provides a thorough scope, duration of, and history of selected problem with supporting evidence</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provides scope, duration of, and history of selected problem with supporting evidence, but is weak in one or more areas</li> </ul>	Discussion was ineffective or absent
	Supportive Organization	<ul> <li>2 to 2 Points</li> <li>Provides a thorough discussion of the organization selected and how they support the problem.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 to 2 Points</li> <li>Provides selected organization but is weak in discussion of how problem is supported</li> </ul>	O to 1 Points  Discussion was ineffective or absent
Body	Second Solution	<ul> <li>2 to 2 Points</li> <li>Proposed solution is clearly defined, linked to global and project objectives with supporting examples and evidence based references</li> </ul>	1 to 2 Points     • Proposed solution is clearly defined, linked to global and project objectives without supporting examples and evidence based references	O to 1 Points  Discussion was ineffective or absent
	Implementation and evaluation of the Second Solution	<ul> <li>4 to 4 Points</li> <li>Implementation Plan and evaluation of the second solution is organized.</li> <li>Utilizes stakeholders, resources, and is timely.</li> <li>Supporting examples provided.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 to 4 Points</li> <li>Implementation Plan and evaluation of the second solution is organized.</li> <li>Utilizes stakeholders, resources, and is timely.</li> <li>Without examples</li> </ul>	O to 1 Points  Discussion was ineffective or absent
	Overall Project Evaluation	<ul> <li>8 to 8 Points</li> <li>Overall CPP is evaluated, clear discussion, organized thought and examples provided</li> </ul>	<ul><li>3 to 8 Points</li><li>Project is evaluated, discussion is organized, examples provided</li></ul>	O to 3 Points  Discussion was ineffective or absent

		Levels of Achievement			
	Criteria	Accomplished	Needs Improvement	Not Acceptable	
	Mentor (Preceptor) Experience	<ul> <li>2 to 2 Points</li> <li>Clear explanation of work completed, includes positive, negative, helpful and areas of need</li> </ul>	<ul><li>1 to 2 Points</li><li>Explanation is clear, but missing a topic</li></ul>	O to 1 Points  Discussion was ineffective or absent	
Conclusion		<ul> <li>7 to 7 Points</li> <li>Summarizes paper and reflects on what the reader has learned from the paper.</li> <li>Demonstrates persuasive thought and is well organized.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 to 6 Points</li> <li>Merely summarizes the introduction or contains new ideas not present in the paper contents.</li> <li>Somewhat disorganized but still comprehensible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>O to 1 Points</li> <li>Simply restates the introduction or is absent.</li> <li>Disorganized to the point of distraction.</li> </ul>	
Stylistics		<ul> <li>6 to 6 Points</li> <li>APA Citations are appropriate.</li> <li>Formatted correctly.</li> <li>Reference page is complete and correctly formatted.</li> <li>At least 8 references provided:         One (1) references from required course materials and seven (7) peer-reviewed references. *References not older than five years.</li> <li>At least 4-5 page paper (no more than 5 pages, not including the title page, abstract, or reference page).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 to 5 Points</li> <li>APA Citations are appropriate and formatted correctly.</li> <li>Reference page is formatted correctly.</li> <li>References are not professional or is not formatted correctly.</li> <li>Missing 1 professional reference.</li> <li>More than 5 pages, not including the title page, abstract, or reference page).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>O to 2 Points</li> <li>No citations are used or citations are made but not formatted correctly</li> <li>Reference page is missing.</li> <li>Less than 4-5 pages, (not including the title page, abstract, or reference page).</li> </ul>	

Peer-reviewed references include professional journals (i.e. Nursing Education Perspectives, Journal of Professional Nursing, etc. –), professional organizations (NLN, CDC, AACN, ADA, etc.) applicable to population and practice area, along with clinical practice guidelines (CPGs - National Guideline Clearinghouse). References not acceptable (not inclusive) are UpToDate, Epocrates, Medscape, WebMD, hospital organizations, insurance recommendations, & secondary clinical databases.

*Note:* You will have *three (3) attempts* to submit a written assignment, only the final attempt will be graded. For each attempt you will receive a SafeAssign originality report. This will give you a chance to correct the assignment based on the SafeAssign score. <u>Click here</u> to view instructions on how to interpret SafeAssign originality report.

<sup>\*</sup>All references must be no older than five years (unless making a specific point using a seminal piece of information)