Because of Mencken's reputation as a satirist, we might be tempted to dismiss this passage as a bit of hyperbolic sarcasm. His article, however, goes on to trace the template for our own educational system back to the now vanished, though never to be forgotten, military state of Prussia. And although he was certainly aware of the irony that we had recently been at war with Germany, the heir to Prussian thought and culture, Mencken was being perfectly serious here. Our educational system really is Prussian in origin, and that really is cause for concern.

was publicly denouncing the Prussianization of American schools hero of Christopher Lasch's 1991 book, The True and Only Heaven, to it many times at the turn of the century. Orestes Brownson, the again and again once you know to look for it. William James alluded state. A Prussian served as Washington's aide during the paean to the land of Frederick the Great and a call for its schooling Massachusetts State Board of Education in 1843 is essentially a produce mediocre intellects, to hamstring the inner life, to deny Prussian culture: an educational system deliberately designed to should so eagerly have adopted one of the very worst aspects of language edition of the federal laws. But what shocks is that we settled here by 1795 that Congress considered publishing a German-Revolutionary War, and so many German-speaking people had hardly surprising, given our early association with that utopian to be brought here. That Prussian culture loomed large in America is back in the 1840s. Horace Mann's "Seventh Annual Report" to the incomplete citizens—all in order to render the populace students appreciable leadership skills, and to ensure docile and "manageable The odd fact of a Prussian provenance for our schools pops up

atomic-bomb project, high commissioner of the American zone in twenty years, WWI poison-gas specialist, WWII executive on the warehouse 2,000 to 4,000 students at a time, like the famous today, nor would we be blessed with gargantuan high schools that the same style and degree of standardized testing that we enjoy American schooling. Without Conant, we would probably not have the twentieth century-that I first got wind of the real purposes of Germany after WWII, and truly one of the most influential figures of revolution? He declines to elaborate, but he does direct the curious result of a "revolution" engineered between 1905 and 1930. A mention in passing that the modern schools we attend were the Parent and the State, and was more than a little intrigued to see him Columbine High in Littleton, Colorado. Shortly after I retired from and the uninformed to Alexander Inglis's 1918 book, Principles of teaching I picked up Conant's 1959 book-length essay, The Child the It was from James Bryant Conant-president of Harvard for

Secondary Education, in which "one saw this revolution through the eyes of a revolutionary."

Inglis, for whom a lecture in education at Harvard is named, makes it perfectly clear that compulsory schooling on this continent was intended to be just what it had been for Prussia in the 1820s: a fifth column into the burgeoning democratic movement that threatened to give the peasants and the proletarians a voice at the bargaining table. Modern, industrialized, compulsory schooling was to make a sort of surgical incision into the prospective unity of these underclasses. Divide children by subject, by age-grading, by constant rankings on tests, and by many other more subtle means, and it was unlikely that the ignorant mass of mankind, separated in childhood, would ever re-integrate into a dangerous whole.

Inglis breaks down the purpose—the actual purpose—of modern schooling into six basic functions, any one of which is enough to curl the hair of those innocent enough to believe the three

traditional goals listed earlier:

1. The *adjustive* or *adaptive* function. Schools are to establish fixed habits of reaction to authority. This, of course, precludes critical judgment completely. It also pretty much destroys the idea that useful or interesting material should be taught, because you can't test for *reflexive* obedience until you know whether you can make kids learn, and do, foolish and boring

2. The *integrating* function. This might well be called "the conformity function," because its intention is to make children as alike as possible. People who conform are predictable, and this is of great use to those who wish to harness and manipulate a large labor force.

3. The diagnostic and directive function. School is meant to determine each student's proper social role. This is done by logging evidence mathematically and anecdotally on cumulative records. As in "your permanent record." Yes, you

do have one.

4. The differentiating function. Once their social role has been "diagnosed," children are to be sorted by role and trained only so far as their destination in the social machine merits—and not one step further. So much for making kids their personal

5. The *selective* function. This refers not to human choice at all but to Darwin's theory of natural selection as applied to what he called "the favored races." In short, the idea is to help things along by consciously attempting to improve the breeding stock. Schools are meant to tag the unfit—with poor grades, remedial placement, and other punishments—clearly enough that their peers will accept them as inferior and